

# Emma Wilson Elementary School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year

#### Published During 2013-14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Emma Wilson Elementary School
Street	1530 West Eighth Avenue
City, State, Zip	Chico, CA 95926
Phone Number	(530) 891-3297
Principal	Kimberly Rodgers
E-mail Address	<a href="mailto:kr Rodgers@chicousd.org">kr Rodgers@chicousd.org</a>
CDS Code	04-61424-6111116

District Contact Information	
District Name	Chico Unified School District
Phone Number	(530) 891-3000
Web Site	www.chicousd.org
Superintendent	Kelly Staley
E-mail Address	kstaley@chicousd.org

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Emma Wilson Elementary School is located on the suburban west side of Chico, serving children from Chico's northwest quadrant as well as the surrounding neighborhood. The beautiful campus is surrounded by quiet neighborhoods, orchards and an adjacent city park. Emma Wilson enrolls students in transitional kindergarten through sixth grade.

**"Our Mission at Emma Wilson Elementary School is to assure high levels of learning for all students"**

**E**veryone **W**orking for **E**xcellence

**Our Vision at Emma Wilson is guided by the following essential questions:**

- 1) What do we expect students to learn?
- 2) How do we know when they have learned it?
- 3) How will we respond when students don't learn?
- 4) )What enrichment opportunities will we provide students?
- 5) How will we provide students the opportunity to connect to our community?

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Our School Site Council, made up of parents and staff members, provides leadership through the development of our school plan, categorical budget and other school activities. The School Site Council surveys our families every other year to determine what parents think of the school's effectiveness. The PTA is a strong, active organization, which sponsors our Family Reading incentive program, the Move-a-thon fundraiser and many educational activities for students and families.

We have a variety of daytime and evening activities for our families. Some of these activities include our Harvest Festival, Family Math Night, Open House and a number of student performances and curricula presentations.

Our teachers and administrators have an "open door policy" which invites parents to our school for thoughtful and essential dialogue. Discussions can range from student concerns and joys, to policy and procedure questions and input. Contact the main office for more information.

### III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

#### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	53	56	53	58	58	58	54	56	55
Mathematics	64	59	56	51	51	52	49	50	50
Science	77	74	64	67	68	67	57	60	59
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	59	56	58	48	49	49

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	58	52	67	N/A
All Student at the School	53	56	64	N/A
Male	54	61	61	N/A
Female	52	50	66	N/A
Black or African American	39	22		N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native				N/A
Asian	43	52		N/A
Filipino				N/A
Hispanic or Latino	33	37	40	N/A
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				N/A
White	64	67	75	N/A
Two or More Races	64	54		N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	37	39	40	N/A
English Learners	15	22	18	N/A
Students with Disabilities	23	35		N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				N/A

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	15.5	20.6	26.8

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## IV. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

**Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison**

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	5	5	5
Similar Schools	1	2	3

**Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison**

Group	Actual API Change		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	4	9	-15
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-3	-30	-12
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	9	25	-1
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-3	-7	-18
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2013 Growth API					
	School		District		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	410	790	8,818	807	4,655,989	790
Black or African American	17	656	315	718	296,463	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	6		151	747	30,394	743
Asian	24	696	547	786	406,527	906
Filipino	0		62	890	121,054	867
Hispanic or Latino	91	694	1,947	738	2,438,951	744
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	5		57	785	25,351	774
White	255	847	5,550	839	1,200,127	853
Two or More Races	3		107	756	125,025	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	222	709	4,561	745	2,774,640	743
English Learners	50	686	1,232	684	1,482,316	721
Students with Disabilities	48	666	1,063	626	527,476	615

### Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

**Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)**

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	11
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	91.7

**V. School Climate****Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)**

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	106
Grade 1	93
Grade 2	67
Grade 3	99
Grade 4	82
Grade 5	101
Grade 6	100
Total Enrollment	648

**Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)**

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.2	White	60.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.2	Two or More Races	1.4
Asian	5.1	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	52.3
Filipino	0.2	English Learners	10.3
Hispanic or Latino	23.9	Students with Disabilities	9.6
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.2		

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2010-11				2011-12				2012-13			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	28	0	2	0	29	0	4	0	21	1	4	
1	30	0	4	0	26.5	0	2	0	23	1	3	
2	29.7	0	3	0	29	0	3	0	22	1	2	
3	30	0	4	0	29	0	3	0	25	1	3	
4	28.8	0	4	0	28.3	0	4	0	27		3	
5	33	0	0	3	30	0	4	0	25	1	3	
6	31.3	0	4	0	32.8	0	1	3	25	1	3	
Other												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Our Safe Schools Plan includes emergency procedures in case of fire, earthquake, or other disaster. The Safe Schools Plan is updated annually in accordance with Senate Bill 187. The key elements of the Safe School Plan are: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure (code red), evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress and fire/explosion. The staff and students have received special training on procedures to follow in the event of an emergency. A copy of these procedures is available in the office and in every classroom for viewing. In an effort to ensure student safety while traveling to and from school, a crossing guard is located at the stop sign on the corner of West Sacramento and West Eighth Avenue. A traffic light is in place at Nord Avenue and West Eighth Avenue. A stop sign is in place at the corner of West Eighth Avenue and Forty Niner Court. Parents have signed a "Traffic Calming Plan" promoting student safety, courtesy and efficiency of traffic flow. We are focusing on the premise that "We value a physically and emotionally safe and secure school environment," which is a high priority at Emma Wilson.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Suspensions	11.91	.31	10.91	8.95	4.57	
Expulsions	0	0	0	0.59	0.62	

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

## VI. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

---

Emma Wilson School takes great efforts to ensure that our campus is clean, safe, and functional. Below is more specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functional learning environment. Emma Wilson School was constructed in 1992-93. Our facility houses 30 classrooms. The school has 3 large playground areas designated for kindergarten, primary and intermediate. The school has posted requirements that all visitors check in at the office and receive a visitors badge or sticker. The school provides before- and after-school supervision for students being dropped off or picked up. District maintenance staff and site custodial staff ensure that the school is in good repair and working order. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The principal works closely with the custodial staff to ensure that all school facilities are kept clean and free of safety hazards. The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist the school district with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)**

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

<b>School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)</b> Year and month in which data were collected: October 2013				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	Repair/Replace Stained Ceiling Tiles; Rooms 913/16. WO# 52054
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	Roach and beetle issues.
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	Repair Hole in Ceiling in MPR Girls Bathroom. WO# 52090 Repair Leak Above Doorway Between Rooms 18/19. WO# 52064
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	

**Overall Facility Rate**

Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]

## VII. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2012-13
With Full Credential	26	30	30	599
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	---

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at [www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/).

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	96.1	3.9
High-Poverty Schools in District	95.2	4.8
Low-Poverty Schools in District	97.9	2.1

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## VIII. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.8	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0	---
Psychologist	0.45	---
Social Worker		---
Nurse	0.2	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8	---
Resource Specialist		---
Other		---

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

**Year and month in which data were collected:** October 2012

Updated and readily available resources are important if students are to perform at their best in class. The State of California adopts textbooks that meet quality standards established by the State Board of Education. The Chico Unified School District selects textbooks and other instructional materials from these state adoptions. Subjects are reviewed on a seven-year cycle. All of the textbooks currently in use meet these standards. They were selected to match the needs of Chico students by a Task Force comprised of teachers and administrators and approved by the Board of Education. The CUSD convenes curricular task forces to review textbooks in core subject areas on the Kindergarten through 8th grade state adopted list concurrent with the adoption cycle. These standards aligned textbooks are in the hands of all students within two years of adoption.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Macmillan/McGraw-Hill: California Treasures	Yes	0
Mathematics	McGraw Hill/Wright Group / Everyday Math - 2009	Yes	0
Science	K-5: McMillan/McGraw Hill / California Science - 2007 6-8: Prentice Hall / Focus on CA Science - 2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Harcourt / Reflections - 2006	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Meets State Guidelines		0
Health	Meets State Guidelines		0
Visual and Performing Arts	Meets State Guidelines		0

## X. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	\$8,374.00	\$2,894.00	\$5,480.00	\$56,347.00
District	---	---	\$5,648.82	\$63,409
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	-3.0	-11.1
State	---	---	\$5,537	\$68,841
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	-1.0	-18.1

**Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

**Basic/Unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Emma Wilson receives the following funding:

- **Economic Impact Aid/Limited English Proficient (EIA/LEP)** funds to support programs and activities to assist English learners achieve proficiency in the English language as rapidly as practicable and to support programs and activities to improve the academic achievement of English learners.
- **Economic Impact Aid/State Compensatory Education (EIA/SCE)** funds to support programs and activities designed to assist educationally disadvantaged students achieve state standard proficiency.
- **Safe Schools** funds to provide training, resources, and technical assistance to establish a school/community environment which is physically and emotionally safe, well-disciplined, and conducive to learning.
- **Title II** federal funds to provide ongoing staff development for teachers and principals.

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$38,121	\$40,933
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$53,164	\$65,087
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,737	\$84,436
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$89,920	\$106,715
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$97,238	\$111,205
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$102,804	\$120,506
Superintendent Salary	\$164,900	\$207,812
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.8%	39.8%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.5%	5.1%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

---

Teachers and instructional staff participate in selected in-service days designed to improve teaching skills. Training opportunities are being provided for teachers on the California Common Core Standards to prepare us for full implementation in the 2014-15 school year. Weekly staff and grade level collaboration meetings are scheduled and provide additional opportunities for staff development and collaboration.

Curriculum improvement is an ongoing process at Emma Wilson School. Leadership Team, teachers, parents, and School Site Council members meet regularly in committees to examine instruction, curriculum, and assessment issues, as well as school-community relations and school procedures. Our school plan is currently under revision to reflect our focus on student learning.